Introduction to the Report

2022 marked the ten-year anniversary of EAN as a non-profit organization serving our broad network of members and the state of Vermont. As much as our organization and Network have changed over the last decade, some things have remained constant — especially our commitment to our founding principles, including:

• **Decisions should be guided by the highest quality data and analysis** and the latest science. EAN will continue to collect, produce, and share work — like this Annual Progress Report for Vermont — that helps ground and productively advance Vermont’s energy and climate conversations with evidence and data.

• **We are better (and stronger) together:** no one person or organization can know everything about something as complex as our energy system. With a commitment to respect, civility, curiosity, and humility, we come together as a Network to learn and strategize about how to meet our energy and climate commitments in strategic and effective ways that lead to a more “just, thriving, and sustainable future.”

As we contemplate these principles and our future, we must pause to recognize that the warnings from climate scientists are only getting more sobering. A recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report once again underlined the scientific consensus about the need to act immediately to significantly reduce and eventually end our dependence on fossil fuels or else risk missing “a brief and rapidly closing window to secure a livable future.”

In 2020 Vermont committed to doing our part in this collective global effort, making our emissions reduction targets legally binding with the passage of the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA). In 2021, a devoted group of Vermonters stepped up to craft Vermont’s first Climate Action Plan (CAP), which was adopted by the Vermont Climate Council on Dec. 1, 2021.

---

The CAP lays out the key pathways — and the recommended actions — necessary to meet our now legally binding emissions reduction requirements. Many of the recommended actions were identified thanks to the work of dedicated EAN members participating in Network Action Teams such as Weatherization at Scale, the Clean Heat Standard, and more. Many Network members also stepped up to serve on the Council or one of its subcommittees, or offered public comment that helped inform and shape the CAP.

Meeting Vermont’s emissions reduction requirements is a pressing challenge. But the work before us also presents a massive opportunity for Vermont consumers and the Vermont economy. This is because transitioning away from high-cost, price-volatile fossil fuels that are 100% imported, towards lower-cost, price-stable renewable alternatives that keep more of our money local can result in a win-win-win for our climate commitments, consumer protection, and economic resilience. In fact, independent analysis conducted for the Vermont Climate Council and Public Service Department projects $6.4 billion in net economic savings and avoided damages between now and 2050 by meeting our emissions reduction commitments.¹

As we look ahead, we must acknowledge that the costs and benefits of our current energy system are not borne equitably. It is not enough to have an energy transition that simply decreases emissions — we also need to ensure the energy transition increases equity. Specifically, we must ensure that cost-saving benefits of strategies like weatherization and electrification go to people and communities who most need them: lower and middle income Vermonters who face a larger energy burden (the share of their income they spend on energy) than their wealthier neighbors. Consistent with the equity commitments of the Vermont Climate Council, we must also ensure that the needed investments in this transition come from those who have done the most to cause the problem and have the greatest ability to pay: the corporations that sell fossil fuel into Vermont and the wealthiest among us, who have historically created much more fossil fuel pollution.

1. Vermont passed its first Climate Action Plan in 2021

As required by the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) of 2020, the Vermont Climate Council adopted Vermont's first Climate Action Plan (CAP) in December 2021. The CAP identifies 26 pathways and proposes 64 strategies for necessary action to meet the emissions reduction requirements established in the GWSA. The highest-impact emissions reductions strategy proposed was the Clean Heat Standard, which would require the thermal fuel sector to meet its share of emissions reduction by 2030. The Clean Heat Standard alone would meet over one third of Vermont’s emissions reductions responsibility under the GWSA. Despite being a strong recommendation of the Climate Council and receiving overwhelming support in the legislature, the future of the Clean Heat Standard is uncertain due to a veto by Governor Scott.

In addition, when the CAP was completed, the largest outstanding question was the path forward for reducing transportation emissions. A week and a half before the CAP deadline, the Transportation and Climate Initiative Program (TCI-P), a regional cap-and-invest program focused on the transportation sector, stalled after Connecticut and then Massachusetts pulled their support.

As this report goes to press in the summer of 2022, the Vermont Climate Council is working to identify one or more primary policy recommendations to ensure transportation sector emissions reductions in line with GWSA requirements are achieved, with one or more recommendations expected before the end of 2022. In particular, cap-and-invest strategies such as joining the Western Climate Initiative, and/or performance standard approaches such as a Clean Transportation Standard, are being actively considered.

Vermont’s historical GHG emissions and future requirements

Financial savings from CAP

Meeting Vermont’s emissions reduction requirements is a massive opportunity for Vermont consumers and the Vermont economy. When we transition from 100% imported, high cost, price volatile fuels to lower-cost, price stable clean energy, the result is a win-win: more money is kept local and in consumers’ pockets.

The Vermont Pathways Analysis Report (or Pathways Report), produced for the Vermont Climate Council and Vermont Department of Public Service by Cadmus and Energy Futures Group (EFG) projects $6.4 billion in net economic savings and avoided damages between now and 2050 by meeting our emissions reduction commitments. The analysis anticipates the net creation of an average of 220 new jobs added (and subsequently retained) every year over the next three decades, adding up to 109,000 job years in total. The growth in energy efficiency and clean energy jobs will far offset the comparatively few jobs that may be lost at those fossil fuel companies that refuse to transition their business models.1

A major priority of the Climate Action Plan is an equitable energy transition for Vermonters. That means policies, programs, and incentives to provide Vermonters with lower and middle incomes with the ability to acquire products and services that allow them to reduce pollution and save money over time. Holistic policy solutions and public funding are necessary to counteract deeply entrenched inequities and energy burdens resulting from fossil fuel dependence. While this transition requires each of us to act, we can’t leave it up to individuals to act alone.

2. VT needs to reduce GHG emissions from transportation and heating to meet our climate requirements

The overarching framework of the Global Warming Solutions Act (GSWA) provides a major opportunity for economy-wide emissions reductions. Historically, Vermont policy and regulatory requirements have primarily been focused on the electricity sector, which has resulted in significant reductions in electricity emissions over recent years. At this point, nearly three-quarters of Vermont’s GHG emissions come from the transportation and thermal sectors. Compared to the U.S. as a whole, Vermont has a higher percentage of emissions coming from the transportation and building/thermal sectors, and less from the electricity sector.

The Vermont Climate Action Plan (CAP) includes many emissions reduction policy recommendations, with a heavy focus on thermal and transportation-related measures. These include pathways ranging from the expansion of residential weatherization and clean heating options, to providing incentives for the purchase of electric vehicles and expanding infrastructure for transit and non-motorized transportation. While Vermont’s electricity sector can and will become less carbon intensive over time, it is already much lower emitting than that of other states. This enables significant and immediate emissions reductions whenever Vermonters electrify our transportation and heating needs, instead of using fossil fuels.

**GHG emissions by sector, U.S. vs VT (2018)**

3. New policies and programs must help reduce energy burdens

The lowest-income Vermonters purchase less energy than upper-income Vermonters — but that energy spending takes up a much greater share of their household budgets.

Energy burden, the percentage of household income spent on energy, is a useful metric for comparing differential effects of energy spending on different communities. Efficiency Vermont’s 2019 Energy Burden Report examined geographic disparities in energy burdens, finding that the average varies by town from 6% to 20%. Notably, the towns with the highest energy burdens do not spend more on energy, they just have lower median incomes.¹

Challenges related to energy and climate do not exist in isolation from other societal challenges. They are interlinked and exacerbated by racial and economic inequities. Although data limitations prevented us from analyzing energy burden by race in Vermont, national assessments have shown that structural inequalities in U.S. energy systems cause energy insecurity that disproportionately affect BIPOC (Black, Indigenous, and people of color) households, and Black households in particular, with lasting, generational effects.²

It is not enough to meet the numerical targets of Vermont’s energy and emissions reduction commitments — it is also very important to change who pays and who benefits. We need to quickly move beyond fossil fuels for our energy needs for both climate reasons and to support long-term economic health. We also need to make sure that all Vermonters receive the benefits of the clean energy transition, with most costs borne by those who can most afford it.

### 4. Climate Council Pathways Analysis shows how VT can meet emissions reduction requirements

The *Pathways Report*, produced for the Vermont Climate Council and Vermont Department of Public Service by Cadmus and Energy Futures Group (EFG) shows that we can meet our 2025 and 2030 emissions reduction commitments under the Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA) with currently available energy technologies and proven best practices. Although these emissions reductions are technically possible, they will require significant policy actions and investments. 2022 saw failures to approve key policy changes, including TCI-P and the Clean Heat Standard. Even important investments approved in 2022 do not represent long-term solutions, such as Federal ARPA funding that will expire in 2026 — exactly the time when we need to scale up action and investments to meet our stronger 2030 GHG reduction requirement.

The vast majority of Vermont’s emissions (74%) come from the transportation and thermal sectors, it is in those sectors that the most significant action is needed. The graphs on these pages highlight the highest-impact transportation and thermal measures in the *Pathways Report*, and show the scale of progress needed by 2025 and 2030 compared to a 2020 baseline. Some uptake of these measures would be expected to increase under a business as usual projection given existing policies and programs. However, these other reductions total to be roughly 0.45 MMT CO2e in 2025, and 1.85 MMT CO2e in 2030. 1. EAN analysis.

### Top GHG reduction measures in Climate Council Pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALL-ELECTRIC VEHICLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126K AEVs</td>
<td>22.7 MPG</td>
<td>24.8 MPG</td>
<td>26.3 MPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27K AEVs</td>
<td>-0.17 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.18 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.19 MMTCO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K AEVs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ICE FUEL ECONOMY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15K AWH units</td>
<td>63K heat pump water heaters</td>
<td>137K heat pump water heaters</td>
<td>13K heat pump water heaters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13K AWH units</td>
<td>-0.05 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.06 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.06 MMTCO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIR SOURCE HEAT PUMPS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92K heat pumps</td>
<td>-0.23 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.23 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.23 MMTCO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20K homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEATHERIZATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120K homes</td>
<td>15K AWH units</td>
<td>113K heat pump water heaters</td>
<td>13K heat pump water heaters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15K AWH units</td>
<td>-0.05 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.06 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.06 MMTCO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIOFUELS (PRIMARILY BIODIESEL &amp; RNG)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,135 heat pumps</td>
<td>-0.02 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.02 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>-0.02 MMTCO₂e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Cadmus/EFG, Vermont Pathways Analysis Report 2.0, 2022; EAN Emissions Reduction Pathways Model, 2021. Note: While these Pathways account for a significant proportion of the required reductions, there are still necessary reductions that will need to come from other pathways in the transportation and thermal sectors, as well as from other non-energy sectors. These other reductions total to be roughly 0.45 MMT CO2e in 2025, and 1.85 MMT CO2e in 2030.
without significant additional policies and programs, business as usual is not expected to get us anywhere near the requirements of the Global Warming Solutions Act, especially for 2030. The measures highlighted on the facing page are just the highest impact measures in the transportation and thermal sectors, and do not include all of the modeled measures needed to achieve the GWSA requirements. **We would need ALL of the pathways and measures, including but not limited to those in the transportation and thermal sectors, together at the scale and pace modeled to reach our GWSA requirements.** If we fall short on any one of them, other pathways and/or measures would need to do even more to make up the difference.

While the climate action investments committed in 2022 are an important start, these dollars alone — mostly federal funds meant to be spent between now and 2026 — will come nowhere near what is necessary to achieve the Pathways Report targets in the absence of additional, overarching policy and higher investments in future years. For example, at approximately $10,000 per project, $80 million for weatherization of Vermont homes may only produce around 8,000 of the 90,000 weatherization projects needed by 2030. The $12 million for EV incentives may only support the purchase of 3,000 additional AEVs — far short of the 127,000 expected to be necessary by 2030.

The graph below shows the GHG reductions that would come from technology adoption at the scale and pace modeled to meet Vermont’s GWSA emissions reduction requirements, according to the *Pathways Report*.

### Pathways emissions reductions, 2025 and 2030

![Graph showing GHG reductions](image)

**Source:** Cadmus/EFG, Vermont Pathways Analysis Report 2.0, 2022; EAN Emissions Reduction Pathways Model, 2021. Note: While these Pathways account for a significant proportion of the required reductions, there are still necessary reductions that will need to come from other pathways in the transportation and thermal sectors, as well as from other non-energy sectors. These other reductions total to be roughly 0.45 MMT CO₂e in 2025, and 1.85 MMT CO₂e in 2030.
Vermont’s reliance on fossil fuels for 72% of our home heating and 94% of our transportation harms both Vermont consumers and our state’s economy. Fossil fuel prices are, on average, both high cost and highly price volatile. Crude oil prices have ranged from a low of less than $20 per barrel during the early days of COVID in April 2020, to over $100 per barrel during the Russian invasion of Ukraine in March 2022. While Vermonters have no control over the prices of fossil fuels, or what countries are benefiting from our fossil fuel purchases, we can have more control over how much money we spend on energy with efficiency improvements and by switching to non-fossil energy sources that cost less over time and keep more of our energy dollars local.

We can reduce our use of fossil fuels through efficiency measures like weatherizing our homes, buying more efficient vehicles, or by switching to less carbon intensive fuel types like biomass, including wood chips, pellets or cordwood, biodiesel, or renewable natural gas. The best way to get off of fossil fuels is by switching to equipment that does not use fossil fuels at all. All-electric solutions exist for home heating, water heating, transportation, property maintenance, and more. Even on a narrow cost-benefit analysis basis, Vermonters will often save money over the life of the equipment when they replace a piece of fossil fuel equipment with a comparable efficient electric option. Policies and programs can help to alleviate some of the barriers to adoption of non-fossil equipment.

Three quarters of the money we spend on fossil fuels immediately drains out of the state, with only a quarter staying and recirculating in our economy. However, when we use electricity to provide the same services, by driving electric cars or heating with high efficiency electric heat pumps, 70% of the dollars we spend on energy stay and then recirculate in Vermont, helping pay the salaries of Vermonters, including lineworkers, tree-trimmers, and local clean power producers, while strengthening our local economy.

**Vermont taxes and fees as percent of unit cost**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Total Vermont Residential Tax as a % of Unit Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heating oil</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Pounds of CO₂e emissions per MMBTU**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Pounds of CO₂e emissions per MMBTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heating oil</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont’s Electricity Portfolio</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Average annual fossil fuel spending in VT, 2010–2019

- **25%** ($482 million) recirculates in the VT economy
- **75%** ($1.45 billion) leaves the Vermont economy


2. Note: Savings from electrification of transportation and heating will vary by utility territory, depending on specific electricity rates and on what fuel the household is transitioning from.
6. Meeting Vermont’s climate commitments will only be possible if we grow our climate workforce

Vermont’s climate workforce is made up of approximately 18,900 people who work at least some of the time in climate mitigation, adaptation, or resilience. This includes the 17,502 clean energy workers documented in the 2021 Clean Energy Industry Report, plus climate workers in other sectors such as agriculture and land management, waste management, public transit, education, financing and philanthropy, and selling and servicing electric equipment.¹

Reaching our climate requirements will require a significant increase in Vermont’s climate workforce. For example, we currently have about 770 people working in weatherization as field workers, office staff, and energy auditors, but we may need more than 6,200 people in those careers by 2030.²

Similarly we had around 225 HVAC workers in Vermont in 2020 installing single-zone and multi-zone heat pumps, and will need to double those numbers to more than 450 people in those careers by 2030.

A significant increase in workforce requires long-term, ongoing funding sources to allow businesses to have confidence to expand. It requires training and support for workers and employers. And it also highlights the need for wrap-around services for workers, including affordable housing, transportation, and childcare. A growth in climate careers is good for the state of Vermont and for Vermont workers — but too many employers are currently finding it hard to hire the workers they need.

². The numbers of weatherization workers depend on efficiencies of scale and could range between 4,400 and 9,700 by 2030.
7. Equipment choices matter: To cut emissions and costs, we have to stop purchasing new fossil dependent vehicles and heating systems

Energy choices — especially the equipment we use to stay warm and to get around — have major implications for both the climate and our pocketbooks. When buying new fossil fuel dependent equipment, a decade or more of pollution, high costs, and price volatility gets locked in — none of which we can afford. In contrast, purchasing a more efficient and clean alternative can save money while reducing pollution. Here are comparisons of the costs and climate pollution that result from different energy equipment choices over their lifetime.

Costs and emission of comparable gas vs EV passenger cars

Costs and emission of comparable gas vs EV pick-up trucks

Costs and emissions from hydronic (hot water) heating systems

- **Equipment cost**
- **Lifetime fuel cost (15 years)**
- **GHG emissions in tons CO₂e for 15 years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Equipment Cost</th>
<th>Lifetime Fuel Cost</th>
<th>GHG Emissions (15 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIR-TO-WATER HEAT PUMP</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>1.14 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUEL OIL BOILER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.66 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROPANE BOILER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83.55 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOSSIL GAS BOILER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70.35 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PELLET BOILER (AWH)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs and emissions from forced hot air heating systems

- **Equipment cost**
- **Lifetime fuel cost (15 years)**
- **GHG emissions in tons CO₂e for 15 years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Equipment Cost</th>
<th>Lifetime Fuel Cost</th>
<th>GHG Emissions (15 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DUCTED COLD CLIMATE</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>1.46 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUEL OIL FURNACE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.97 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROPANE FURNACE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77.16 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOSSIL GAS FURNACE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65.05 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PELLET STOVE (AWH)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs and emissions from home water heating

- **Equipment cost**
- **Lifetime fuel cost (12 years)**
- **GHG emissions in tons CO₂e for 12 years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Equipment Cost</th>
<th>Lifetime Fuel Cost</th>
<th>GHG Emissions (12 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.16 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROPANE WATER HEATER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.55 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUEL OIL WATER HEATER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.49 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOSSIL GAS WATER HEATER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.93 tons CO₂e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Cold Climate Heat Pump systems also provide energy efficient air conditioning, which has not been included in the net cost (or savings) and emissions comparisons. Fuel costs used were the May 2022 Green Mountain Power rate of $0.177/kWh, the average of the 2021/22 heating season for propane at $3.16/gallon, fuel oil at $3.61/gallon, and wood pellets at $300/ton, and the listed rates for fossil gas from VGS for Aug 2022.

**Sources:** For CO₂e values of VT electricity and wood pellets: Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, 2021. For fossil fuel CO₂e values: EIA, 2022. For fuel costs: PSD, 2022. For electricity rates GMP 2022. Equipment pricing from the TAG TRM where available. Additional pricing sources can be shared on request.
A total energy approach

There are a number of different ways to look at the impacts of Vermonters’ use of energy. But any way you look at it, if we think about “energy” only in terms of electricity, we are missing a very large part of the picture. In Vermont, 76% of greenhouse gas emissions come from our energy use, with the largest portion coming from the transportation sector, followed by heating and cooling of buildings. We also spend the most money on transportation, followed by thermal energy (mostly for heating). Electricity emissions and costs are important — especially as more of our thermal and transportation load shifts to electricity — but whether you look at relative energy used, greenhouse gas emissions, or energy expenditures, fossil fuels used for transportation and heating pose the biggest challenges in Vermont, from both an emissions and economics perspective.

A total energy transformation requires policy and programs to decarbonize transportation and heating, not just electricity. EAN Network Action Teams have helped develop programs and policies to reduce both expenditures and emissions in the transportation and thermal sectors, such as Replace Your Ride and the Clean Heat Standard.

The last 5 years of data shows a slight drop in overall energy use, accompanied by an increase in renewability for each sector. As we look to the future, the Comprehensive Energy Plan calls for a further decrease in overall energy use, accompanied by a heavy push towards renewability across all sectors. **By 2035, total energy use would have to be roughly half of its 2019 amount.** The decrease in total energy use is planned to come from efficiency measures, including efficiency gains from strategic electrification, implemented throughout the state in all sectors. By 2035, the total renewability proportion across all energy sectors is modeled to be around 52%, which is an increase from 16% in 2019. The Electric sector is anticipated to be completely renewable or carbon-free by that time, the Thermal sector 70% renewable, and the Transportation sector 45% renewable.

**VT total energy to date and CEP targets**

**Transportation**

- **49.3 Trillion BTU**
  - Gasoline 71%
  - Diesel 22%
  - Renewable 6% (1.44 trillion)

**Thermal**

- **59.5 Trillion BTU**
  - Propane 17%
  - Fossil gas 24%
  - Renewable 25% (12.2 trillion)

**Electricity**

- **16.2 Trillion BTU** (after accounting for RECs)
  - Renewable 68%
  - Nuclear 27%
  - ISO-NE system mix: fossil 3%
  - Other hydro 20%
  - Total renewable BTU: 14.8 trillion

**ISO-NE system mix: renewable**

- Hydro-Qubec 43%
- Other hydro 20%
- Total renewable BTU: 10.7 trillion

**Total energy use**

- **2019**
  - 125 Trillion BTU
  - Renewable sources: 2.8 trillion

**Total energy sources**

- Renewable 6%
- Other fuel (nonrenewable) 2%
- Ethanol 5%
- Wood 23%

Sources: Energy Information Administration, Efficiency Vermont, Vermont Department of Public Service, Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, and Energy Action Network.
Accounting for greenhouse gas emissions

Vermont’s Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory

Vermont’s official greenhouse gas inventory, compiled by the Department of Environmental Conservation, is what is known as an “in-boundary” (also sometimes called territorial, sector-based, or production-based) inventory. It aims to account for all of the GHG emissions that are produced within the boundaries of the state of Vermont. This is the type of inventory that nearly all countries, provinces, and states use, following Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) protocol. Using this approach avoids double-counting of emissions between jurisdictions.

Consumption-based Inventories

However, when we use energy or consume materials in Vermont, those actions are also tied to emissions that occur outside of our borders, before they are imported into Vermont. To achieve an accounting of emissions beyond state borders that a state can be viewed as being responsible for as a result of the consumption of its residents, consumption-based emissions inventories (CBEIs) are sometimes employed to supplement official in-boundary inventories. Oregon and Minnesota are examples of states that have conducted CBEIs. However, it is important to note that a Vermont CBEI would not count emissions tied to consumer purchases of Vermont-made products that are exported to other places.

For this reason, and depending on the balance of imports and exports in a state’s economy, a consumption-based inventory can result in higher, lower, or similar emissions totals as compared to a state’s official in-boundary inventory. For instance, as a net-exporting state, Minnesota’s CBEI showed slightly lower total GHGs than in its in-boundary inventory, as shown below. It is important to stress that one approach is not better or truer than the other — both approaches are legitimate and valuable lenses to understand the emissions we are responsible for.

Minnesota’s in-boundary and consumption-based inventories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IN-BOUND INVENTORY</th>
<th>CONSUMPTION-BASED INVENTORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emissions produced in MN and exported</td>
<td>67.9 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td>61.2 MMTCO₂e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions produced and consumed in MN</td>
<td>71.9 MMTCO₂e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IN-BOUND INVENTORY 139.8 MMTCO₂e
CONSUMPTION-BASED INVENTORY 133.1 MMTCO₂e

Source: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, “Consumption-related emissions.”

Lifecycle Emissions of Energy Use

Another supplemental approach, as required by the Global Warming Solutions Act, is to specifically assess the “emissions of greenhouse gasses from within the geographical boundaries of the state and those emissions outside the boundaries of the state that are caused by the use of energy in Vermont.”

The Vermont Climate Council and Agency of Natural Resources are currently working to develop a supplemental inventory for lifecycle emissions related to Vermont’s energy use. This will include not just site emissions (“burner tip” or “tail-pipe” emissions), but also emissions coming from raw material extraction, processing, transmission, and distribution associated with all Vermont energy use, including transportation and heating fuels as well as our electricity consumption.
Lifecyle GHG emissions analysis applied to fossil fuels

Well to tank: 20%–30% of emissions

Biofuels and lifecycle emissions

Other states, including California and Oregon, already conduct lifecycle emissions analysis related to transportation energy use, building on the GREET model developed by Argonne National Labs. GHG emissions from a broad fuel type can vary depending on unique characteristics. In particular, different biofuels have very different lifecycle emissions factors (or carbon intensities) based on how each fuel is sourced and produced, so it is important to distinguish between them rather than lump them together.

Some biofuels, such as those produced from palm oil, create higher emissions than fossil fuels. Meanwhile other biofuels, such as those produced from recycled restaurant oil, produce much lower emissions than fossil fuels. Lifecycle analyses of biofuels can also account for emissions related to both direct and indirect land use change, as Oregon does for assessing compliance with its Clean Fuels Program. Note that each dot on the graph below represents a different carbon intensity value based on the unique source and production method for different transportation energy sources.

Carbon intensity values of different transportation fuels: Oregon clean fuels program

Source: Department of Environmental Quality: Fuel Pathways - Carbon Intensity Values: Oregon Clean Fuels Program: State of Oregon. The carbon intensity values for the program are expressed in grams of carbon dioxide equivalent per megajoule of energy (gCO2e/MJ). VT electricity carbon intensity figure is added by EAN and based on 2019 data from ANR of 15 lbs/MMBTU or 52 lbs/MWh.
Transportation sector fuels and greenhouse gas emissions

Transportation is responsible for 40% of Vermont’s greenhouse gas emissions. This is directly related to the fact that 94% of the energy we use for transportation comes from heavily polluting fossil fuels, which is a much higher share of fossil fuel dependence than in any other sector.¹ On-road gasoline use from the light duty fleet accounts for 71% of total transportation emissions, with on-road diesel use from heavy duty vehicles contributing another 11% of emissions.²

The Global Warming Solutions Act requires Vermont to reduce our emissions to 26% below 2005 levels by 2025, and 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. In 2019 our annual emissions were still higher than our 1990 emissions, though substantially lower than those from 2005. For the transportation sector to hit its sectoral emissions reduction targets, this would mean that our 2018 transportation emissions of 3.43 MMTCO₂e would need to decline to at least 2.93 MMTCO₂e by 2025 (40% of the needed reduction by 2025 or a 15% reduction below 2018) and be no higher than 2.05 MMTCO₂e by 2030 (40% of the needed reduction by 2030, or a 40% reduction below 2018).³

Historical VT transportation GHG emissions by source

VT transportation energy sources, 2019

Transportation Pathways to Climate Action plan requirements

Transportation efficiency refers to reducing energy use and GHG emissions per mile traveled. Energy use and emissions are highly dependent on the vehicles we choose to purchase and the fuels they use: electric vehicles (EVs) are three times more efficient\(^1\) than gas or diesel vehicles, and smaller vehicles are generally more efficient than SUVs and pickup trucks, with crossovers (CUVs) in between.

In order to reach our GHG reduction requirements, the Pathways Report calls for an increasing number of Vermonters to replace internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles with more efficient all-electric vehicles (AEVs). Specifically, the Pathways Report sees a need to increase the number of AEVs on the road from the 3,358\(^2\) registered in Vermont in May of 2022 to 27,000 by 2025, and 126,000 by 2030. Although this represents a significant increase, EVs would still be a relatively small portion of Vermont’s overall vehicle fleet, which was approximately 580,000 vehicles in 2021.\(^3\)

The Pathways Report also recognizes that the efficiency of gasoline and diesel vehicles as measured by the miles per gallon (MPG) they achieve will likely continue to improve as technology advances. However, while vehicles have been getting more efficient overall, Vermonters have been buying bigger vehicles, offsetting some of the benefit we could be getting from these increasing fuel efficiency standards.\(^4\)

---

2. In addition to the 3,358 all electric vehicles, VT had 3,227 plug-in hybrids registered.
Transportation efficiency

The emissions associated with any particular vehicle go beyond just the emissions from fueling the vehicle. Manufacturing and maintaining the vehicle are also sources of greenhouse gas emissions. Because of the energy used in the manufacture of batteries, EVs tend to be responsible for more GHGs in the production phase than internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles. However, due to their higher operating efficiency, and the lower GHG profile of electricity compared to fossil fuels, EVs are, on the whole, much less polluting than ICEs over the life of the vehicle. This is especially true on Vermont’s relatively clean electric grid, but is true across the U.S. as well. ¹

Transportation efficiency extends beyond just which vehicle we might use, and includes both land use and behavior choices. Pre-COVID, almost 76% of commuting trips in both Vermont and the U.S. were taken in single occupancy vehicles. Vermonters tended to work from home, take public transit, and bicycle at higher rates than the U.S. average, but carpooled and walked to work less often.² It is important to note that commuting only represents 30-40% of all household vehicle miles traveled.³ It remains to be seen how durable some of the shifts in transportation choices related to COVID may be over the next few years, including effects on telecommuting, public transit, active transportation, and carpooling. Increasing any of these has the potential to help reduce our greenhouse gas emissions by reducing vehicle miles traveled.

Transportation equity

There are many disparities in access to safe, reliable, and affordable transportation between different demographic groups in Vermont. For our neighbors who are young, seniors, have disabilities, or have low incomes, inadequate transportation options can create a major barrier — reducing employment and education options, as well as making it harder and more time-consuming to get to medical appointments, grocery stores, and social engagements.

In Vermont, discussions around transportation tend to assume that most people have access to private vehicles. However, 25% of Vermonters do not have a driver’s license — including youth, elders, people with disabilities, and people who choose not to drive.¹ And some households don’t have a vehicle available to them at all, with 6.7% of occupied housing units in Vermont lacking a vehicle.²

Vermont housing units by type

Even for individuals who do have access to both driving and a vehicle, the transition to electric vehicles can be hampered by inadequate access to EV charging infrastructure. Although policies and funding are thankfully advancing to address this issue, it often remains more difficult to drive an EV for renters and those living in multifamily buildings. Only about two-thirds of Vermonters live in detached single family housing, where charging is most easily installed.³

¹. VT Department of Transportation, Vermont Transportation Energy Profile, 2021.
². U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-year Estimates, 2022.
Transportation and the economy

In 2019 transportation accounted for 45% of Vermonters' energy expenditures — a year when the average price of gasoline was $2.64/gallon and diesel averaged $3.10/gallon.¹ As was made especially clear in early 2022, drivers of gasoline and diesel vehicles are subject to wide price swings for their fuel. As of May 2022, the average cost of gasoline in Vermont was $4.51, while diesel was $6.29. Electric vehicle charging costs are consistently lower on a gallon-equivalent basis and much more stable.

Some vehicle charging can be done at very low rates through utility programs like Burlington Electric Department’s off-peak EV rate equivalent to $0.70/gallon, or Green Mountain Power’s off-peak rate of about $1.07/gallon-equivalent.² Increased EV charging infrastructure is needed to allow more Vermonters to benefit from an equitable transition to electric vehicles, with new charging needed at multi-unit housing, workplaces, and public locations.

Shifting from fossil fuel to electricity as our primary energy source for transportation can benefit both consumers and the Vermont economy. In 2020, Vermonters spent more than $700 million on fossil fuels for transportation — a number that could be more than twice as high in 2022, based on fuel prices through May of 2022. In 2020, only 28% of these funds recirculated in the state's economy, with the rest immediately draining out of state. In contrast, for every dollar we spend on electricity, 70% recirculates in Vermont, supporting local lineworkers, tree trimmers, and clean power producers, among others.³

### Transportation spending in VT, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Recirculated in VT Economy</th>
<th>Leaves the VT Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE</td>
<td>28% ($157M)</td>
<td>72% ($403M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIESEL</td>
<td>27% ($44M)</td>
<td>73% ($118M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FOSSIL</td>
<td>28% ($202M)</td>
<td>72% ($520M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRICITY</td>
<td>70% ($583M)</td>
<td>30% ($251M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Vermont Agency of Commerce and Community Development, 2022

### Gasoline and diesel vehicles are more expensive to drive than EVs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Gasoline</th>
<th>Diesel</th>
<th>EV Charging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$1.55</td>
<td>$0.70</td>
<td>$0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$1.58</td>
<td>$0.80</td>
<td>$0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$1.62</td>
<td>$0.85</td>
<td>$0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$1.67</td>
<td>$0.90</td>
<td>$0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$1.72</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$1.77</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$1.82</td>
<td>$1.05</td>
<td>$1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1.87</td>
<td>$1.10</td>
<td>$1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$1.92</td>
<td>$1.15</td>
<td>$1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$1.97</td>
<td>$1.20</td>
<td>$1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$2.02</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$2.07</td>
<td>$1.30</td>
<td>$1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$2.12</td>
<td>$1.35</td>
<td>$1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$2.17</td>
<td>$1.40</td>
<td>$1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$2.22</td>
<td>$1.45</td>
<td>$1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$2.27</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$2.32</td>
<td>$1.55</td>
<td>$1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$2.37</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Gas and Electric — Drive Electric VT (via EIA); Diesel — Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans). Diesel and gas prices as of May 2022; electricity price as of March 2022.

Electrifying transportation: Increasing options, decreasing costs

Transitioning to electric vehicles (EVs) is one of the highest-impact pathways for reducing GHG emissions in Vermont. There are at least 50 models of all-electric vehicles (AEVs) and plug-in hybrids (PHEVs, which run on electricity, but also have a gasoline engine that can be used for longer trips) available in Vermont. EVs tend to be high performance vehicles with very good traction. More than 35 models are available with all-wheel drive either standard or optional, and at least 25 models get more than 200 miles per charge.

As the number and variety of EVs has increased, prices have also come down. Incentives offered by federal and state governments, and by utilities, bring prices down even more. There are additional programs available to lower income Vermonters, such as Replace Your Ride and MileageSmart. As of spring of 2022, 15 models in Vermont had a base cost less than $40,000, and 5 had a base cost under $30,000 — and that’s before accounting for the significant incentives that can take an additional $10,000 or more off the price. Details about available vehicles, cost reducing incentives, and charging infrastructure, can be found on the Drive Electric Vermont website (driveelectricvt.com).


**Chevrolet Bolt (EUV)**
- Range: 247 miles
- Base cost spring 2022: $25,600 (before rebates and incentives)

**Nissan Leaf Plus**
- Range: 226 miles
- Standard monthly lease spring 2022: $179

**Ford E-Transit Van**
- Range: 126 miles
- Cargo Space 315 ft³

**Electric Bikes**
- E-bikes or motorcycles can replace car trips for some people. Utility and state discounts bring down their price.

**Subaru Crosstrek**
- (electric + gas)
- Range: 17 miles electric + a gas engine
- Base price $35,845 (before rebates and incentives)

**Chrysler Pacifica Hybrid**
- (electric + gas)
- Seats 7
- Standard monthly lease spring 2022: $382

**Electric Transit Bus**
- Vermont had 4 electric transit buses on the road with 15 more funded or on order as of spring of 2022.

**Electric School Bus**
- Vermont had 6 electric school buses on the road with 4 more on order at the end of the 21/22 school year.

**Ford Lightning**
- Base price below $40,000 (before rebates and incentives)
- Can be used as a generator on job sites or to provide backup power at home.
Thermal sector fuels and greenhouse gas emissions

Vermont thermal GHG emissions by sector and fuel type

The thermal sector accounts for about 34% of Vermont’s total GHG emissions, making it the state’s second largest source of climate pollution, behind only transportation (40%). Historically, thermal sector emissions have moved roughly in line with how relatively warm or cold each heating season has been (as measured by heating degree days), and thus how much heating fuel has been used.

The majority (74%) of Vermont’s thermal energy use is fossil fuel based. More than half of thermal sector emissions come from residential use, followed by the commercial sector. Reducing thermal emissions means moving away from fossil fuels to heat our homes and businesses.

Vermont’s electricity portfolio is around 93% carbon free, so using heat pumps powered by that relatively clean electricity is a powerful way to cut emissions. While wood heat is not necessarily a carbon neutral option, it is almost always “carbon better” than fossil fuels — especially when wood is locally and sustainably sourced. For example, wood heating can achieve more than a 50% reduction in lifecycle GHG emissions compared to fossil fuels.1 Additionally, sustainably sourced biodiesel or renewable natural gas (RNG) can displace more carbon intensive fossil fuels used in many Vermont heating systems.

Historical VT thermal GHG emissions by source

1. SIG-NAL, 2015

Source: Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Vermont Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecast (1990–2017), 2021. There is a small amount of emissions from wood heating in the commercial sector, but it is too small to show up on this pie chart.

Vermont heating energy sources, 2018

Thermal Pathways to Climate Action

Plan requirements
Weatherization in Vermont

Historical trends and Climate Council pathway projections

- Business-as-usual projection implied by existing policies as of fall 2021
- Estimated increase from FY23 budget investments

The good news is that no matter where you live in Vermont or what type of building you’re trying to heat, there are efficient, clean heating technologies that can work cost effectively, right now. Proven clean heat solutions include weatherization, efficient electric heat via heat pump systems, advanced wood heating options, and/or B100 biodiesel.

The solutions that can deliver the largest share of Vermont’s required thermal sector GHG emissions reductions, as modeled in the Pathways Report, are heat pumps for space and water heating, as well as weatherization. These graphs show the scale and pace of adoption expected to be necessary as part of the portfolio of solutions needed to meet Vermont’s emissions reduction requirements for 2030.

However, clean heating is not an either/or situation. Often the best solutions are both/and — involving multiple renewable heating options working in combination, providing supplemental or back-up heat to each other, especially after weatherization has occurred, in the interest of reliability and resilience.

Sources for all three graphs: Historical Data: EAN Energy Dashboard, 2021 (primarily from Efficiency Vermont); Future projections: Cadmus/EFG, Vermont Pathways Analysis Report 2.0, 2022.

1. EAN analysis.
Low-income households don’t have the same access to improved heating options as their higher-income neighbors, placing already burdened Vermonters at the mercy of some of the highest cost and least efficient ways to heat their homes. **Lower-income households are disproportionately dependent on two of the highest-cost heating sources:** fuel oil and inefficient resistance electric systems.

In Vermont, 73% of households own their home, while 27% rent — and there are big differences in how owned versus rented homes are heated. **In rental units there is often a “split incentive”, where the landlord is responsible for installation of heating equipment and weatherization, but the tenant pays the utility bill.** This disincentivizes improvements that could lead to financial savings and a healthier home for many renters.

The use of electricity for heating provides a good example of this issue. Electric heat pumps are one of the most efficient, clean, and cost-effective ways to heat a home over time — but they have relatively high upfront purchase and installation costs. On the other hand, electric resistance heating is the most expensive way to heat a home over time, yet it has very low upfront purchase and installation costs. This is a big reason why a full 20% of renters in the lowest third of the income distribution are still dependent on inefficient and high-cost electric resistance systems. Renters also are much less likely to have the ability to use low-cost, locally sourced wood to heat their homes, across the income spectrum.

High relative costs of home heating for low income Vermonters can lead to other inequities. For instance, low income households are more likely to find themselves choosing between adequate home heating and buying enough food for their families.
The thermal sector and the economy

Thermal spending in VT, 2019

Prices for fossil fuels like propane, fuel oil, and kerosene have historically been high and volatile. Switching to electric heat pumps and/or wood heat options can simultaneously lower a household’s energy costs and offer more stable fuel prices. Even fossil gas, which has been historically stable, has experienced price volatility over the last year. Home weatherization also results in decreased fuel costs, as the resulting efficiency gains lead to reduced energy use.

Switching away from fossil fuels for heating is also a boon to Vermont’s economy. In 2019, Vermont spent about $711 million on fossil fuels for heating. In contrast, when we heat with electricity (70%) and/or wood (80%), a greater share of money spent on heating stays and recirculates in state. If more households switch to these heating sources, not only can consumers save significant amounts of money on heating — more of the money they do spend will stay local, helping to employ our neighbors and strengthen the Vermont economy.

Given the life cycles of heating equipment, each year about 12,500 Vermont households replace their space heating systems and roughly 25,000 replace their water heaters. This time of change-out is the key moment of opportunity to replace old, dirty systems with more efficient and clean upgrades — and is also when Vermonters can avoid locking in decades of further pollution and unpredictable heating costs.

Cost comparison of different heating fuel options over time

Sources: Fuel Oil, Propane, Kerosene, Gasoline, Diesel, Wood Pellets: VT Department of Public Service. Fuel Price Report, 2021. Fossil Gas, Electricity: EIA, 2021. Wood Chips: Biomass Energy Research Center, 2021. Note 1: Electricity prices presented here are a statewide average. Electricity prices vary by utility territory. Note 2: The reason propane is more expensive per MMBTU than fuel oil but less expensive on a per gallon basis is because propane has a lower energy content per gallon. Propane’s energy content is only 66% that of fuel oil, by gallon (EIA).
Home heating: Advanced equipment allows Vermonters to move away from fossil fuels

Heat pumps for heating and cooling

There are several different kinds of heat pumps, but all are highly efficient heating and cooling systems. Heat pumps use less energy compared to electric resistance, propane, or oil systems, reducing annual post-installation costs and greenhouse gas emissions. Heat pumps also avoid the risk of running out of fuel and remove the need to store fuel on site. Heat pumps use electricity to concentrate and move heat, using technology similar to a refrigerator, which allows them to deliver more energy than they use.\(^1\) Heat pumps work particularly well in a well-insulated, air-tight building. Some installations warrant a backup source of heat,\(^2\) especially in a building that isn’t well-weatherized, because the efficiency of heat pumps does decrease at very low temperatures, though the technology is continually improving.\(^3\)

Ductless Heat Pumps / Mini-splits or Air-to-Air

Mini-splits draw heat from outdoor air, and deliver it through hot air systems inside the building. To heat a whole home, multiple of these heat pumps are generally needed.

Centrally Ducted Heat Pumps or Air-to-Water

Centrally ducted heat pumps use the existing ductwork or water-radiators in a building to deliver heat and cooling to the building.

Heat pump water heaters

Heat pump technology is also increasingly used in water heaters. Heat pump water heaters are slightly more expensive than fossil equipment to purchase, but their lifetime costs are usually lower than a fossil fuel system.

Advanced wood heat: Efficient boilers and stoves

Advanced Wood Heat (AWH) refers to highly efficient, clean burning appliances ranging from a new EPA certified wood stove to a wood pellet stove or wood chip boiler. Some AWH systems, like pellet boilers, can even be automated, meaning they can be programmed with a thermostat. Although AWH systems are often more expensive to install, their fuel is much less expensive, and the lifetime costs can be less than a fossil fuel system.

---

2. Backup heating options include wood heat systems, electric resistance heat, or existing boilers or furnaces (using fossil fuel or biofuel).
3. Home or business owners should always consult with efficiency and heating professionals before changing a heating system. The most appropriate options vary depending on individual circumstances.
Electricity sector energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions

Historical VT electricity GHG emissions by source

- Nearly all of the GHG emissions reported in the state’s official inventory from Vermont’s electricity consumption are attributable to that portion of electricity that Vermont distribution utilities purchase from the regional residual system mix through ISO New England (ISO-NE), the independent system operator, or grid operator, for New England. Between 2017 and 2020, the ISO-NE residual system mix portion of Vermont’s electricity portfolio decreased from 30% to 3%. At the same time, the renewability of the entire ISO-NE generation system has also been increasing: from just 4% in 2010 to 16% in 2021.²

- The net result of these trends was a drop of more than 80%—from 0.81 to 0.13 MM tons—in Vermont’s electricity sector GHG emissions between 2016 and 2019.² Vermont now has the least carbon intensive electricity portfolio (CO2e/MWh) in the U.S. While there is still more progress we can and must make in the electricity sector, Vermont’s relatively low-emitting electricity portfolio already makes the electrification of other sectors especially beneficial, as discussed in the Transportation and Thermal sections of this report. Vermont’s electricity sector GHG emissions are reported on the basis of the utilities’ Renewable Energy Credit (REC) purchases, post-REC accounting. This is consistent with Vermont’s Renewable Energy Standard (RES), emissions accounting in other New England States (except New Hampshire), and the regional electricity market in which we operate.

- However, even if we look at our electricity sector emissions from energy deliveries to Vermont, pre-REC accounting, those emissions are still the lowest in the nation¹. Some point out that “there is no Vermont electricity,” since we are part of the ISO-New England grid. Technically speaking, we do utilize the same electricity pool as every other state in the region, given the unique physical properties of electricity (i.e. electrons do not respect state borders). But Vermont can only be responsible for the electricity we purchase — we can’t control other states’ purchasing decisions.

- It is also worth noting that, after California and upstate New York, the “grid mix” from ISO-NE is still one of the lowest-emitting in the United States. For instance, charging an EV anywhere in ISO-NE territory is the equivalent of getting 150 miles per gallon from a GHG emissions perspective.⁵

---

VT Renewable Energy Standard compliance

**Vermont Renewable Energy Standard targets and compliance**

Vermont’s Renewable Energy Standard (RES) requires utilities to increase the share of electricity they purchase from renewable sources over time. Data from 2020 shows that utilities have exceeded initial RES Tier I requirements, achieving 68% total renewable electricity for Vermont. Tier I allows for Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) — which are the marketable property rights to the renewable attributes of power generation — to come from any source of renewable electricity that can be delivered to ISO NE. To date nearly 100% of Tier I RECs have come from hydropower and the Hydro-Quebec System Mix. All utilities met the 2020 Tier II requirement that 2.8% of electricity sales must come from small-scale, in-state renewable electricity. Three Vermont utilities — Burlington Electric Department, Washington Electric Co-op, and Swanton Electric — have reached 100% renewability based on post-REC accounting. Additionally, Vermont Electric Co-op and Green Mountain Power have announced public commitments to be 100% renewable by 2030 (and 100% carbon-free by 2023 and 2025, respectively).  

Tier III of the RES requires utilities to either procure additional renewable distributed generation eligible for Tier II, or acquire fossil fuel savings from energy transformation projects that reduce fossil fuel use for their customers. In response, Vermont utilities have created programs that incentivize renewable technologies in the transportation and thermal sectors — such as electric vehicles and heat pumps. This aspect of the RES is one way that Vermont has started to promote a total energy transition through policy. In 2020, all Vermont utilities met the Tier III requirement of 4% of their electric sales, primarily through energy transformation projects that reduced fossil fuels.  

In addition, the important role of our efficiency utilities cannot be overstated. The work of Efficiency Vermont and Burlington Electric Department have resulted in a cumulative efficiency savings of 14,317 GWh through 2020 and will continue to be key going forward.

---

**Electricity savings from electric efficiency utilities**

---

Different views of Vermont’s electricity consumption: In- vs. out-of-state and pre- vs. post-REC

There are several ways to analyze Vermont’s electricity profile. While we generate electricity from a variety of renewable sources in Vermont, the high value Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) from many of those resources are sold by utilities to help lower the cost of RES compliance.

Going a step further, we can also compare in-state and out-of-state electricity generation and purchases, both pre-and post-REC accounting. In 2020, 35% of pre-REC generation was composed of in-state renewables. This shifts down to 5% when looking at post-REC purchases, as Vermont legally measures our portfolio, in compliance with the Renewable Energy Standard. These charts show a snapshot of 2020 numbers. It should be noted that the balance of different resources changes year to year depending on weather and other factors. However, post-REC renewability must always comply with annual RES requirements.

Either way of looking at the data shows that Vermont’s electricity consumption is 96%–97% carbon-free and less than 5% fossil fuel.

Beneficial electrification and Vermont’s transmission and distribution system

Vermont seasonal peak electricity loads, 2005–2021

Source: VELCO, 2022. This data shows VELCO’s VT Load actuals. In the 2020/21 EAN Report we instead showed the VT Billing Load from ISO-NE, which is different.

Beyond a direct reduction in electricity sector emissions, a cleaner electricity mix has a second, much more powerful benefit. When Vermonters switch from fossil fuels to electricity — say for an electric vehicle, heat pump system, or other technology — we benefit from having the least GHG intensive electricity portfolio of any state in the country (either when measured based on in-state generation or based on in- and out-of-state purchases). Beneficial electrification in Vermont — or switching from fossil fuels to electricity for heating and transportation to achieve GHG and other pollution reductions — is more effective at reducing GHG emissions than in any other U.S. state because of our comparatively clean electricity portfolio.

Furthermore, thanks in large part to the great work done on electric efficiency by efficiency utilities Efficiency Vermont and Burlington Electric Department and new, in-state distributed renewable electricity generation, a significant amount of headroom for additional load now exists in our electric transmission and distribution system. This means we can accommodate widespread beneficial electrification while saving all ratepayers money.

The Vermont Electric Power Company (VELCO) reports that our transmission system is already capable of serving, conservatively, a peak load of about 1,100 megawatts (MW) in the summer, and is predicted to be capable of serving, again conservatively, about 1,375 MW in the winter. The 2021 Vermont Long-Range Transmission Plan found minimal impacts to distribution transformers in the high load scenario at a summer peak of 1,209 MW and a winter peak of 1,471 MW. However, unlike in summer, the distribution system remains largely untested at high loads in winter. In both 2019 and 2020, Vermont’s annual peak load was less than 960 MW (our historic high was 1,118 MW in 2006). For context, an estimate from VELCO is that charging 100,000 EVs simultaneously would add about 100 MW to our peak load. However, that doesn’t account for the reality of widespread load control measures that are already in place and growing (i.e., managed charging and residential storage).

In short, our current transmission system is capable of handling high levels of electrification through 2030 (though relatively smaller scale upgrades at certain points on the distribution system will be necessary, and increased use of load flexibility may be needed). VELCO’s 2021 Vermont Long-Range Transmission Plan specifically states that even when modeling a “high load” scenario through 2030 we are “able to address transmission concerns.” Beyond 2030, VELCO projects the heavy use of load management (for example, not charging EVs at periods of peak demand) and adjustments in tie-line flows as being increasingly necessary to accommodate high loads.

1. Vermont is a “dual peaking state.” VT had its highest system peak in the summer of 2006. Since then summer peak demand has been dropping due to efficiency efforts and solar generation. As we electrify transportation and heating, winter peaks will grow. However, the good news is that due to ambient temperature differences, the winter season allows for about 25% more transmission capacity than in the summer.
Electrifying lawn maintenance equipment: Increasing options, decreasing costs

There are increasingly promising options for moving away from fossil fuels for lawn care and property maintenance. The lifetime costs of most electric lawn equipment is lower than that of fossil fuel equipment, even before rebates. However, this is mainly because fueling a piece of electric equipment is much cheaper than buying fossil fuel, even though the purchase price of the technology may be higher. In addition to the significant reductions in GHG emissions from switching to electric equipment, ending the use of fossil fuel equipment leads to local air quality improvements from decreased particulate matter and smog forming pollutants (NOx and VOCs), and a decrease in noise pollution.

The graphs on this page show the lifetime costs of commercial and residential technologies before the rebates for electric equipment offered by most Vermont electric utilities. Those rebates are currently in the $50–$200 range for many pieces of residential equipment, but can be as high as $1,000–$3,500 for commercial ride-on lawnmowers.

**Lifetime costs and emissions: Residential electric vs fossil lawn equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment cost</th>
<th>Fuel cost for electricity or gasoline at $3.75/gallon</th>
<th>Additional fuel cost at $4.50/gallon gasoline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRIC RIDE-ON LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.02 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE RIDE-ON LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>2.35 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRIC CHAINSAW</td>
<td>$0.0005 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>0.79 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE CHAINSAW</td>
<td>$0.003 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>0.71 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRIC PUSH LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0.02 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>0.02 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE PUSH LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0.05 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>0.01 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lifetime costs and emissions: Commercial electric vs fossil lawn equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment cost</th>
<th>Fuel cost for electricity or gasoline at $3.75/gallon</th>
<th>Additional fuel cost at $4.50/gallon gasoline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRIC RIDE-ON LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.64 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE RIDE-ON LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>46.38 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRIC CHAINSAW</td>
<td>$0.01 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>6.89 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE CHAINSAW</td>
<td>$0.05 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>1.97 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRIC PUSH LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0.05 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>1.97 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASOLINE PUSH LAWNMOWER</td>
<td>$0.05 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
<td>1.97 tons/CO$_{2e}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Electricity pricing is based on Green Mountain Power’s May 2022 rate of $0.177/kWh. Lifetimes for each piece of equipment vary, data here drawn from the Tier III Technical Resources Manual. Source: Vermont Public Service Department, Tier III “Technical Resource Manual”, 2018. Additional analysis by EAN.
## Vermont statutory energy and emissions targets, 2020 status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL OR STATUTE</th>
<th>TARGET</th>
<th>TARGET DATE</th>
<th>OVERALL STATUS (2021 APR)</th>
<th>OVERALL STATUS (2022 APR)</th>
<th>TREND ’21-’22 APR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GHG EMISSIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act 153 (Vermont Global Warming Solutions Act of 2020): Reduce greenhouse gas emissions at least 40% below 1990 levels by 2030.</td>
<td>-40%</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>+0% (2018)</td>
<td>+0% (2018)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act 153 (Vermont Global Warming Solutions Act of 2020): Reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 80% below 1990 by 2050.</td>
<td>-80%</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>+0% (2018)</td>
<td>+0% (2018)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ENERGY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Meet 90% of the state’s energy needs through renewables — including thermal, transportation, and electric (Note: Energy sourced in-state and out-of-state)</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>24% (2018)</td>
<td>23% (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Reduce total energy use (from 2010 levels) by over 30% by 2050 through efficiency and conservation, across thermal, transportation, and electric.</td>
<td>-30%</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>120 TBTU (2018)</td>
<td>124 TBTU (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 V.S.A. 8002 (2015): RES Tier III - Require 2% of utility sales (BTU equivalency) in 2017 to reduce fossil fuel consumption, rising to 12% in 2032. Projects must be new, in-state, and in service in 2015 or later.</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2017-2032</td>
<td>3.3% (2019)</td>
<td>2.7% (2020)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 V.S.A. 4302(c)(7) (2016): Develop energy plans for regions and municipalities consistent with the CEP goals.</td>
<td>11 regions</td>
<td>2018 for RPCs Voluntary for towns</td>
<td>11 approved (regional) 69 approved (towns) (2021)</td>
<td>11 approved (regional) 73 approved (towns) (2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSPORTATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Reduce total transportation energy use by 20% from 2015 levels by 2025.</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>45.3 TBTU (2018)</td>
<td>49.3 TBTU (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Reduce transportation-emitted GHGs by 30% from 1990 levels by 2025.</td>
<td>-30%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Hold vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita to 2011 levels.</td>
<td>11,390</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>11,773</td>
<td>11,772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Reduce share of single-occupancy vehicle commute trips by 20% of 2011 levels (79.5%).</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>81.4% (2017)</td>
<td>75.9% (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Double the share of bicycle and pedestrian commute trips from 7.8% to 15.6%.</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>7.7% (2018)</td>
<td>6.9% (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Triple the number of state park-and-ride spaces from 1,142 to 3,426.</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>1,639 (2019)</td>
<td>1,734 (2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Increase the share of renewable energy in all transportation to 10% by 2025 and 80% by 2050.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>6% (2018)</td>
<td>6% (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Increase Renewably Powered Vehicles: Increase % of the vehicle fleet that are Electric Vehicles to 10% by 2025.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>0.8% (2020)</td>
<td>1.1% (2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): To reduce total fossil fuel consumption across all buildings by an additional one-half percent each year, leading to a total reduction of 6% by 2017 and 10% by 2025.</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>+5.5% (36.5 TBTU (2018))</td>
<td>+7.5% (37.2 TBTU (2018))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2022): Meet 30% of thermal energy needs from renewable energy, by 2025, and 70% by 2042.</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>23% (2018)</td>
<td>25% (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2022) and CAP: Weatherize 120,000 households by 2030, relative to a 2008 baseline.</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>29,238 (2019)</td>
<td>31,338 (2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2016): Increase wood’s share of building heat to 35% by 2030.</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>24.3% (2018)</td>
<td>24.3% (2019)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THERMAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 V.S.A. 8002 (2015): RES Tier 1 - Total Renewable Electric - Obtain 55% of annual electric sales from renewables for each retail electricity provider in Vermont by 2017, and 75% by 2032. RECs retained (in-state and out-of-state)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>2017-2032</td>
<td>64% (2019, post-REC)</td>
<td>68% (2020, post-REC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP (2022) and CAP: Meet 100% of energy needs from carbon-free resources by 2032, with at least 75% from renewable energy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 V.S.A. 8002 (2015): RES Tier 2 - Distributed Generation - Require 1% of electric sales to come from distributed generation in 2017, rising to 10% by 2032. Projects starting in mid-2015 are eligible, and new NM and SO projects count if RECs are retired (in-state).</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2017-2032</td>
<td>2.2% (2018)</td>
<td>2.7% (2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 V.S.A. 8005(a)(7) (2011): Issue Standard Offer contracts to new SO plants until a cumulative capacity of 127.5 MW is reached (new plants 2.2 MW or less commissioned on or after Sept 30, 2009) (in-state).</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>112.97 MW under contract</td>
<td>124.78 MW under contract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR’S EAN REPORT**

- Year-to-year progress flat
- Increasing rate of year-to-year progress
- Decreasing rate of year-to-year progress

**Sources:**
EAN Network Action Teams

Each year, EAN members and public sector partners identify strategic initiatives through a competitive pitch process, based on their potential to help Vermont rapidly, cost-effectively, and equitably reduce fossil fuels and greenhouse gas pollution. Since 2020, each winning concept has established a Network Action Team to move the idea forward, supported by EAN staff and a small grant.

Network Action Teams initiated from pitches at the EAN Summit in Fall of 2021:

**Climate Workforce**
To achieve Vermont’s required greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, we need to train thousands of Vermonters with the skills to electrify our transportation sector, install clean energy solutions, weatherize homes, build net zero commercial buildings and sustainably manage our working lands, forests, and waterways. This Network Action Team is defining and quantifying the workforce needed to reach the requirements of the Climate Action Plan, and coordinating around strategies, pathways, and funding to reach these goals.

**Switch and Save**
When we install new fossil-fuel dependent equipment it locks us into high-cost and price volatile fuels and many years of pollution. This Network Action Team is finding strategies to help Vermonters switch away from fossil fuels at the time when they are buying new equipment. As a first step the Network Action Team proposed a program to provide income-qualified Vermonters with new, energy efficient heat pump water heaters at low or no cost (including electrical work). This program, which secured $5 million in the 2023 state budget, will focus on Vermonters with older water heaters that are at risk of failure in the near future (or those whose water heaters have just failed).

**VT Clean Transportation Equity**
Vermont emits more greenhouse gasses in transportation than in any other sector. As the Vermont Climate Council considers policy options to address these emissions, this Network Action Team is advancing a collaborative process to identify the highest impact investment opportunities, in terms of cost-effective and equitable greenhouse gas reduction strategies and actions, for clean transportation revenue in Vermont.

Network Action Teams initiated from pitches at the EAN Summit in Fall of 2020:

**Weatherization at Scale**
Weatherizing homes helps reduce greenhouse gas emissions while decreasing home energy costs and increasing home comfort for Vermonters. This Network Action Team has been working to increase funding and financing to scale up weatherization with a target of 90,000 additional Vermont homes being weatherized by 2030. Working groups are also exploring topics related to workforce and technical approaches.

**Clean Heat Standard**
This Network Action Team was integral in developing the concept of a Clean Heat Standard, and detailed suggestions for how one could be equitably and effectively implemented in Vermont. This policy would require fossil fuel corporations and utilities that sell heating fuels in Vermont to reduce their climate pollution over time, in line with Global Warming Solutions Act requirements. The policy passed in both the Vermont House and Senate in 2022 by strong margins, but came up short of an override of the Governor’s veto by one vote in the House.

**Future of Rural Transit**
This Network Action team has been exploring steps that can be taken to combine public and school transportation into a single electrified public transportation system.

**Replace Your Ride**
A Replace Your Ride program, to help low-income Vermonters affordably switch to clean transportation options, was proposed by this Network Action Team and passed through the Vermont legislature in the 2021 session. The pilot will be rolled out by the Vermont Agency of Transportation in the fall 2022.
### Who We Are

Energy Action Network (EAN) consists of over 100 active members representing business and finance, utilities, non-profits, and higher education, along with over 100 local, state, and federal public sector partners. All EAN members share a mission of achieving Vermont’s 90% renewable by 2050 total energy commitment and of significantly reducing Vermont’s greenhouse gas emissions in ways that create a more just, thriving, and sustainable future for Vermonters.

#### Business and Finance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3E Thermal</td>
<td>Randy Drury, Fritz Fay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllEarth Renewables</td>
<td>David Blittersdorf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bee's Change</td>
<td>Mike Kieran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourne's Energy</td>
<td>Peter Bourne, Levi Bourne, Jim Kurrle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Bear Biodiesel</td>
<td>Jim Malloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Energy</td>
<td>Russ Flanigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built by Newport</td>
<td>Dave LaForce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butternut Mountain Farm</td>
<td>David Marvin, Ira Marvin, Emma Marvin, Ed Fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casella</td>
<td>Joe Fusco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst Financial</td>
<td>Bob Barton, Marianne Barton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catamount Solar</td>
<td>Kevin McCollister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.T. Donovan Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>Christine Donovan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downs Rachlin Martin PLLC</td>
<td>Will Dodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunkiel-Saunders</td>
<td>Elliott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoff Hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynapower</td>
<td>Adam Knudsen, Richard Morin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eco-Equipment Supply, LLC</td>
<td>Steven Wisbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encore</td>
<td>Chad Farrell, Phillip Fay, Derek Moretz, Chad Nichols, Kate Desrochers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Balance, Inc.</td>
<td>Andy Shapiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Co-op of Vermont</td>
<td>Brian Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Futures Group</td>
<td>Richard Faesy, Chris Neme, Gabrielle Stebbins, Dan Mellinger, David Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Thinking</td>
<td>Jeff Forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh Tracks Capital</td>
<td>Cairn Cross, Lee Bouyea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassroots Solar</td>
<td>Bill Laberge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Lantern Group</td>
<td>Luke Shullenberger, Bill Miller, Sam Carlson, Ralph Meima, David Carpenter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSV</td>
<td>Harrison Grubbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maclay Architects</td>
<td>Bill Maclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMR (MacLean Meehan &amp; Rice)</td>
<td>Justin Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montpelier Construction</td>
<td>Malcolm Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Life Group</td>
<td>Charlie Maitland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Leaf Design</td>
<td>Tom Perry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northam Forest Carbon</td>
<td>Tim Stout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwich Solar Technologies</td>
<td>Jim Merriam, Joel Stetthenheim, Martha Staskus, Jacob Flanigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRG Systems</td>
<td>Justin Wheating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packetized Energy</td>
<td>Paul Hines, Bonnie Pratt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelliery</td>
<td>Andy Boutin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomerleau Real Estate</td>
<td>Ernie Pomerleau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)</td>
<td>Rich Cowart, Rick Weston, David Farnsworth, Nancy Seidman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reiss Building and Renovation</td>
<td>Chuck Reiss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventh Generation</td>
<td>Ashley Orgain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Environmental, Inc.</td>
<td>Barbara Patterson, Nick Floersch, John Hanzas, Carleigh Cricchi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SunCommon</td>
<td>James Moore, Duane Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrun</td>
<td>Chris Rauscher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunwood Biomass</td>
<td>David Frank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tied Branch Clean Energy Consulting</td>
<td>Ryan Lamberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanesse Hangen Brustlin, Inc (VHb)</td>
<td>Carla Fenner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont Economic Development Authority (VEDA)</td>
<td>Sam Buckley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont Green Building Network</td>
<td>Jenna Antonino DiMare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont Housing and Finance Agency (VHFA)</td>
<td>Maura Collins, Chris Flannery, Ken Pulido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont Wood Pellet Co.</td>
<td>Chris Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSECU</td>
<td>Rob Miller, Laurie Fielder, Simeon Chapin, Lisa LaSante, Valerie Beaudin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Profits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Institute of Architects Vermont (AIA VT)</td>
<td>Sarah O Donnell, Catherine Lange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Industries of Vermont (AIV)</td>
<td>William Sayre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audubon Vermont</td>
<td>David Mears, Margaret Fowle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass Energy Resource Center (BERC)</td>
<td>Adam Sherman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Performance Professionals Associations of Vermont (BPPA)</td>
<td>Jonathan Dancing, Malcolm Gray, Russ Flanigan, Chuck Reiss, Tom Perry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capstone Community Action</td>
<td>Sue Minter, Paul Zabriskie, Amanda Carlson, Liz Sharf, Sam Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity (CVOEO)</td>
<td>Paul Dragon, Virginie Diambou, Dwight DeCoster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Economy Action Center of Addison County</td>
<td>Spencer Putnam, Richard Hopkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Law Foundation</td>
<td>Elena Mihayl, Chase Whiting, Dale Azaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive Electric Vermont (DEV)</td>
<td>David Roberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks Museum</td>
<td>Adam Kane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervale Center</td>
<td>Travis Marcotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Champlain Chamber</td>
<td>Tom Torti, Catherine Davis, Austin Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Motion</td>
<td>Karen Yacos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeighborWorks of Western Vermont (NWWVT)</td>
<td>Heather Starzynski, Melanie Paskevich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Grassroots Environmental Fund (NEGElF)</td>
<td>Bart Westdijk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Forest Center</td>
<td>Rob Riley, Maura Adams, Joe Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Spokes Home</td>
<td>Jon Copans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation Trust of Vermont</td>
<td>Ben Doyle, Jackson Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assets Institute</td>
<td>Stephanie Yu, Paul Cillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Energy Vermont (REV)</td>
<td>Peter Sterling, Jonathan Dowds, Kit Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReSOURCE</td>
<td>Thomas Longstreth, Pam Laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights and Democracy</td>
<td>Kiah Morris, Dan Fringas, Tom Proctor, Alison Nihart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelburne Farms</td>
<td>Marshall Webb, Megan Camp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Sector Partners

LOCAL

Legislators: Vermont’s State Representatives and Senators

Town Energy Committees: Town Energy Committees from across Vermont

Cities: Burlington (Mayor Miro Weinberger), Montpelier (Mayor Anne Watson), South Burlington (Paul Conner, Director of Sustainability)

REGIONAL

Regional Planning Commissions and Regional Development Corporations: Dave Snedeker, Alison Low, Irene Nagle (Northern Vermont Development Association); Adam Lougee, Andrew L’Roe (Addison); Peter Gregory, Geoff Martin, Steven Bauer (Two Rivers Ottauquechee); Jim Sullivan, Allison Stroh (Bennington County); Melanie Needle, Charlie Baker, Marshall Distel (Chittenden); Catherine Dimitruck, Linda Blasch (Northwest); Chris Campany, Marion Major, Colin Bratton (Windham); Bonnie Waninger, Zachary Mia, Sam Lash (Central Vermont); Adam Grinold (Brattleboro Development Credit Corporation)

Green Mountain Transit

Regional Transit Authority: Chris Damiani, Jamie Smith

Tri-Valley Transit: Mike Riderer

STATE

Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets: Anson Tebbetts, Diane Bothfeld, Alex DePillis

Agency of Commerce and Community Development: Lindsay Kurrie, Ken Jones, Laura Trieschmann

Agency of Natural Resources: Julie Moore, Billy Coster, Jane Lazorchak, Ed McNamara

Agency of Transportation: Joe Flynn, Michele Boomhauer, Andrea Wright, Ross McDonald, Dan Currier

Department of Buildings and General Services: Brian Sewell

Department of Environmental Conservation: Heidi Hales, Collin Smythe, Megan O’Toole, Brian Woods

Utilities

Burlington Electric Department

Darren Springer, Mike Kanarick, Jennifer Green, Tom Lyle, Chris Burns, Mike Russom, Amber Widmayer

Efficiency Vermont (EVT)

Peter Walke, Kelly Lucci, Dan Reilly, Sarah Wolfe, Jake Marin, Hillary Andrews

Green Mountain Power (GMP)

Mc McBride, Liz Miller, Brian Otley, Robert Dostis, Kristin Carlson, Josh Castonguay, Graham Turk, Kristin Kelly, Maria Fischer, Doug Smith, Chris Cole

Vermont Electric Power Company (VELCO)

Tom Dunn, Kerrick Johnson, Mark Scarlott, Shana Louiselle

Vermont Electric Cooperative (VEC)

Rebecca Towne, Andrea Cohen, Jake Brown

Vermont Gas

Neale Lunderville, Dylan Giambatista, Jill Pfennig, Tom Murray, Richard Donnelly, Chris Charuk, Greg Morse, Tim Perrin

Vermont Public Power Supply Authority (VPPSA)

Ken Nolan, Julia Leopold

Washington Electric Co-op (WEC)

Louis Porter, Bill Powell, Roger Fox, Stephen Knowlton

Higher Education

Dartmouth College, Tuck School of Business
April Salas, Melody Brown Burkins

Goddard College

Catherine Lowther

Middlebury College

Diane Munroe, Dan Suarez, Jon Isham, Mez Baker-Medard

Northern Vermont University

Jason Shaffer

Norwich University, Center for Global Resilience and Security
Tara Kulkarni

University of Vermont (UVM)

Jon Erickson, Richard Watts, Amy Seidl, Abby Bleything

UVM Extension

Sidney Bosworth, Sarah Tichonuk

UVM Gund Institute

Taylor Ricketts, Jeannine Valcour, Stephen Posner

UVM Transportation Research Center (TRC)

Greg Rowangould, Dana Rowangould

UVM Vermont Clean Cities Coalition

Peggy O’Neill-Vivanco

Vermont Law School

Kevin Jones

Vermont Technical College

Pat Moulton, Dan Costin

Sustainable Heating Education Outreach

Jeff Rubin

Sustainable Montpelier Coalition

Elizabeth Parker, Laura Brooke

Sustainable Woodstock

Michael Caduto, Jenevra Wetmore

The Nature Conservancy
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Mission & goals

Energy Action Network (EAN) works to achieve Vermont’s climate and energy commitments in ways that create a more just, thriving, and sustainable future for Vermonters.

Collective impact approach

Energy Action Network (EAN) is a diverse network of nonprofits, businesses, public agencies, and other organizations working together in a collective impact framework and supported by a core staff to further the Network’s mission.

We approach our work together through two key lenses:

1) **Total energy transformation**: We work toward efficient and renewable energy use across all sectors.

2) **Strategic leverage areas**: We work to enable systemic change at a scale and pace necessary to achieve Vermont’s energy and emissions commitments, focusing on Policy & Regulatory Reform, Capital Mobilization, Public Engagement, and Technology Innovation. We also support Network Action Teams working on strategic projects identified and selected by the Network.

EAN’s core staff compiles data and analysis, and convenes and supports the EAN Network of nonprofits, businesses, public agencies, and other organizations, as we journey together to achieve Vermont’s climate commitments and energy goals.

EAN’s core staff supports the work of Network members in the following ways:

- **Steward a common agenda** for Network members and partners.
- **Collect data and measure results** through regular tracking and analysis.
- **Coordinate mutually reinforcing activities** to develop, share, and advance high-impact ideas.
- **Ensure continuous communication** to and across the Network.

EAN is working to help Vermont meet the requirements of the Global Warming Solutions Act, which includes reducing greenhouse gas pollution to 26% below 2005 levels by 2025, to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, and to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050, and to meet the goals of the Comprehensive Energy Plan, including achieving 90% of Vermont’s total energy needs from renewable sources by 2050.
Thank you!

EAN’s 2022 Annual Progress Report for Vermont is a collaborative effort, reflective of our diverse network members and public sector partners. We would like to thank the following agencies and organizations for their contributions to the content, data, and analysis within the report: the Vermont Department of Public Service, Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Vermont Agency of Commerce and Community Development, Vermont Agency of Transportation, the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation, and the UVM Transportation Research Center.

EAN is also deeply grateful to the funders who make this report and all of our work possible, including the Maverick Lloyd Foundation, Green New Fund, Garfield Foundation, Canaday Family Trust, High Meadows Fund, Vermont Low Income Trust for Electricity (VLITE), John Merck Fund, Sustainable Future Fund, Ittleson Foundation, Bonwood Social Investments, VSECU, and the Bridgewood Fieldwater Foundation.

The primary co-authors of the report were EAN staff — Jared Duval, Mei Butler, and Cara Robechek — and EAN Senior Fellow Leigh Seddon. Design and layout is by Dana Dwinell-Yardley: ddydesign.com. Photo of Jared Duval on page 38 by Mike Dougherty/VTDigger.

Please distribute freely with credit to EAN. See eanvt.org for digital versions of the full report, individual graphics, and contact information for questions related to this report.